


Crespi Junior High

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-ntab (LCAP)

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 7	263
Grade 8	250
Total Enrollment	513



Last updated: 1/25/2018

Last updated: 1/25/2018

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;

Last updated: 1/25/2018

Last updated: 1/19/2018

Year and month in which the data were collected: October 2017

Last updated: 10/16/2018

School Facility Good Repair Status

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: July 2017

Last updated: 1/23/2018

		<p>functional.</p> <p>Emergency exit signs function as designed, exits are unobstructed.</p> <p>Hazardous chemicals, chemical waste, and flammable materials are stored properly (e.g. locked and labeled properly).</p>
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	<p>The following action/s was/will be taken to ensure:</p> <p>Severe cracks are not evident. Ceilings & floors are not sloping or sagging beyond their intended design.</p> <p>Posts, beams, supports for portable classrooms, ramps, and other structural building members appear to be intact, secure and functional as designed.</p> <p>There is no visible evidence of severe cracks, dry rot, mold, or damage that undermines the structural components.</p>
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	<p>The playground equipment and school grounds in the vicinity of the area being evaluated appear to be clean, safe, and functional.</p> <p>The following action/s was/will be taken to ensure:</p> <p>There is no exposed broken glass accessible to pupils and staff.</p> <p>Exterior doors and gates are functioning and do not pose a security risk.</p>

Overall Facility Rate

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: July 2017

Overall Rating	Fair
----------------	------

Last updated: 1/23/2018

Nc
nu
ad

No
ste

No
is r
scc

e.,

ested
ived

/25/2016

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2016 and is currently under development.

Last updated: 1/25/2016

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

Last updated: 1/25/2018

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because

Last updated: 1/25/2018

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

Last updated: 1/4/2018

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2017-2018	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement	Year 1	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	20
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	60.6%

Last updated: 1/26/2018

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2014-15				2015-16				2016-17			
	Average Class Size	Number of Classes *			Average Class Size	Number of Classes *			Average Class Size	Number of Classes *		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	28.0	7	7	11	25.0	9	9	7	26.0	11	3	11
Mathematics												
Science												
History												
Physical Education												
Art												
Music												
Foreign Languages												
Other												

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Last updated: 1/25/2018

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Last updated: 1/19/2018

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/18/2018

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

- EXTRA CURRICULAR SITE ALLOCATION
- PARENT ENGAGEMENT
- VISUAL & PERFORMING ARTS - WHOLE CHILD

Last updated: 1/18/2018

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$41,021	\$47,808
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$63,219	\$73,555
Highest Teacher Salary	\$84,748	\$95,850
Average Principal Salary		

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Last updated: 1/25/2018

Professional Development

classroom observations. Teachers and other staff are provided with both on and off-site professional development in all standards based curriculum content areas. Beyond the content areas, professional development is provided in English Language Development, differentiated instruction and educational technology. In addition, training is made available in classroom management and the effective use of assessment data and teacher data teams in meeting the needs of all students. A primary goal of the professional development program is ensuring that all students are served by skilled, highly qualified teachers and that teachers are supported by strong, knowledgeable instructional leaders. The Crespi ILT has taken on Claim and Evidence as an academic focus for the 2017-2018 school year. The ILT also has developed Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) at Crespi. These are groups of teachers who work together throughout the year toward a common instructional goal. The four PLCs are geared toward Classroom Management, Technology Integration, Strategies for Teaching English Language Learners, and Project-Based Learning respectively.

Last updated: 1/4/2018